```
1
    RICHARD A. WRIGHT, ESQUIRE
     Nevada Bar No. 886
    MONTI JORDANA LEVY, ESQUIRE
    Nevada Bar No. 8158
 3
     WRIGHT MARSH & LEVY
     300 S. 4th Street
 4
     Suite 701
    Las Vegas, NV 89101
    Ph: (702)382-4004
 5
    Fax: (702)382-4800
    mlevy@wmllawlv.com
 6
 7
     Attorney for William Loomis
 8
 9
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
11
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
12
13
           Plaintiff,
                                             Case No. 2:20-CR-00128-KJD-BNW
14
                  VS.
                                             STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CALENDAR
15
    STEPHEN T. PARSHALL,
                                             CALL AND TRIAL DATE
     ANDREW LYNAM, and
     WILLIAM LOOMIS,
                                             (Sixth Request)
16
17
           Defendant.
18
19
           IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between, Jason M. Frierson, United
     States Attorney, District of Nevada, Daniel Schiess, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for
20
21
    the United States of America; Richard A. Wright, Esquire and Monti Jordana Levy, Esquire, counsel
22
     for Defendant William L. Loomis, Robert M. Draskovich, Esquire, counsel for Defendant Stephan
    T. Parshall; Thomas F. Pitaro, Esquire, counsel for Defendant Andrew Lynam; that the calendar call
23
24
    scheduled for October 25, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. and the trial scheduled for October 31, 2022, at 9:00
25
    a.m., be vacated and continued to a date and time convenient to the Court but no sooner than May
    6, 2023.
26
27
           IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties shall have to and including
28
    January 30, 2023, to file any pretrial motions and notices of defense.
```

///

///

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties shall have to and including February 13, 2023, to file any responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties shall have to and including February 20, 2023, to file any responsive pleadings.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. The Defendants need additional time to review discovery and to prepare for trial, and to consider negotiations.
- 2. The discovery in this case includes numerous lengthy recordings of the interactions of the defendants with a cooperating individual and an undercover agent. Many of the recordings are difficult to hear and the review with the defendants is difficult because of their detention and the difficulties of meeting in person with the defendants due to the pandemic. The utilization of video visits, which are difficult to arrange are not an adequate method to review audio recordings. Counsel for Defendant Loomis has utilized an audio enhancement expert for analysis of some of the evidence and this is an ongoing process.
 - 3. The defendants are incarcerated and do not object to the request for a continuance.
- 4. The denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D) and (H); and (h)(6) and (7)(A), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 6. Additionally, for informational purposes only, Defense counsel are still in the process of attempting to negotiate a global resolution of the State of Nevada case and this case (both of which arise from the same conduct of the defendants) and all parties want to continue the federal case until after the state case which is scheduled for trial on February 6, 2023.

	Case 2:20-cr-00128-KJD-BNW Document 66 Filed 10/11/22 Page 3 of 5
1	7. This is the sixth request for a continuance filed herein.
2	DATED this 5th day of October, 2022.
3	WRIGHT MARSH & LEVY JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney
4	By/s/ Richard A. Wright By/s/ Daniel Schiess DANIEL SCHIESS
5 6	By /s/ Richard A. Wright RICHARD A. WRIGHT, ESQUIRE MONTI JORDANA LEVY, ESQUIRE Counsel for Defendant Loomis By /s/ Daniel Schiess DANIEL SCHIESS Assistant United States Attorney
7	THE DRASKOVICH LAW GROUP PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.
8	By /s/ Robert M. Draskovich By /s/ Thomas F. Pitaro
9	By /s/ Robert M. Draskovich ROBERT M. DRASKOVICH, ESQUIRE Counsel for Defendant Parshall By /s/ Thomas F. Pitaro THOMAS F. PITARO, ESQUIRE Counsel for Defendant Lynam
10	Counsel for Defendant Laisnan Counsel for Defendant Lynam
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case No. 2:20-CR-00128-KJD-BNW VS. FINDINGS AND ORDER ON STIPULATION STEPHEN T. PARSHALL, ANDREW LYNAM, and WILLIAM LOOMIS, Defendant.

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense counsel and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The Defendants need additional time to review discovery and to prepare for trial, and to consider negotiations.
- 2. The discovery in this case includes numerous lengthy recordings of the interactions of the defendants with a cooperating individual and an undercover agent. Many of the recordings are difficult to hear and the review with the defendants is difficult because of their detention and the difficulties of meeting in person with the defendants due to the pandemic. The utilization of video visits, which are difficult to arrange are not an adequate method to review audio recordings. Counsel for Defendant Loomis has utilized an audio enhancement expert for analysis of some of the evidence and this is an ongoing process.
 - 3. The defendants are incarcerated and do not object to the request for a continuance.
- 4. The denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D) and (H); and (h)(6) and (7)(A), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

1	6. Additionally, for informational purposes only, Defense counsel are still in the process
2	of attempting to negotiate a global resolution of the State of Nevada case and this case (both of
3	which arise from the same conduct of the defendants) and all parties want to continue the federal
4	case until after the state case which is scheduled for trial on February 6, 2023.
5	7. This is the sixth request for a continuance filed herein.
6	
7	
8	***************
9	ORDER
10	THEREFORE, it is ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including September 18,
11	2023, to file any pretrial motions and notices of defense.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the parties shall have to and including October 2, 2023 to
13	file any responsive pleadings.
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the parties shall have to and including October 9, 2023,
15	to file any responsive pleadings.
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the calendar call and trial in the above-captioned
17	matters currently scheduled for October 25, 2022, and July October 31, 2022, respectively,
18	respectfully, be vacated and continued to a date and time convenient to this court, that
19	is calendar call November 7, 2023, at the hour of 9:30 a.m., and trial November 13, 2023, at the
20	hour of 9:00 a.m. in courtroom 4A.
21	DATED this 11th day of October, 2022.
22	
23	KENT J. DAWSON
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	